

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,965	11/18/2003	Wei-Chia Huang	TAIW 192	4607
7	590 03/15/2005		EXAMINER	
RABIN & CHAMPAGNE, P.C.			GARCIA, ERNESTO	
Suite 500 1101 14th Stree	et, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20005			3679	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>X</i>			
7		Application No.	Applicant(s)	12			
	Office Action Summary	10/714,965	HUANG				
V Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAH INC DATE of this communication	Ernesto Garcia	3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	S			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this commul D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No.	ovember 2003.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠.	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
. 4/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			• •			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	•	and addressed as OS II O O O 4404 V	(1)				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received. have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		ed in this National Stag	е			
+ 0	application from the International Bureau	. ,,					
- 5	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment	(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)	1			

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-5, drawn to a locking method, classified in class 29, subclass
 410.

- Claims 6-9, drawn to a locking mechanism, classified in class 292, subclass 95.
- III. Claims 10-15, drawn to a retractable device having a safety locking mechanism, classified in class 403, subclass 109.8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the locking method can be practiced with a guiding plate, or a leaf spring between two telescoping members.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3679

806.05(e)). In this case, the process can be practice with a guiding plate and two telescoping members, or a leaf spring between two telescoping members.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the retractable device does not require at least a hook supported by a first spring. The subcombination has separate utility such as locking doors, windows, or containers.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Steven Rabin on March 4, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3679

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 703-308-8606. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30-6:00. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3679

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E.G.

March 6, 2005

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600